SINGLE BENCH (7)

P.R. No. 3624/06
Presented by Shri Rajnesh Shrivastava
Date 12/7/06
C.F. 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. Of 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Fulwanti Lal W/o Shri S.C.B. Lal , aged about 44 years, occupation service, working as Head Mistress , posted at Government Middle School Tanakhar , Tahsil Katghora District Korba (CG).

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
17/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary , School Education Department, Mantralay , D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG).

2. The Secretary, Adim Jati and Anusuchit Jati , Vikas, Raipur , Tahsil and District Raipur (CG).
3. The Commissioner, Scheduled Tribe and Scheduled Caste Development, D.K.S. Building Raipur (CG).
4. The Collector , (Tribal Development), Korba, Tahsil and District Korba (CG).

(2) (8)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(69)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP..3558/06.. **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19.7.2006:-

Heard Shri Shailendra Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

By this petition, the petitioner has questioned the order dated 6-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Tanakhar, Podi Uproda to Simga, Podi Uproda.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner has not completed one year at the present place of posting and the husband of the petitioner is working in the same Department at Katghora. Many posts are lying vacant in the nearby area, whereas, the petitioner is a low paid employee and she has been transferred at a distance of 80 km and the sons of the petitioners are studying at Korba.

Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.4 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

(70)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -27-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.4 raising all her grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.4 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2749/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		

P.T.O.